PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 2 0 JUL 2006 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT CATHERINE M. JOYCE LINIAK, BERENATO & WHITE, LLC 6550 ROCK SPRING DRIVE, SUITE 240 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE BETHESDA, MD 20817 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing 2006 (day/month/year) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 30 March 2004 (30.03.2004) 25 March 2005 (25.03.2005) PCT/US05/10212 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07K 1/00(2006.01) IPC: 530/350 USPC: Applicant GRYPHON THERAPEUTICS, INC. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201

Date of completion of this opinion

07 June 2006 (07.06.2006)

Telephone No. (571) 272-1600

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/US05/10212	

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion						
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been est the international application in the languag a translation of the international application int international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)	ge in which it was	filed	lation furnished for the p	purposes of		
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid section, this opinion has been established on the bas		the international app	lication and necessary to	the claimed		
a.	type of material						
	a sequence listing			*			
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	•					
ъ.	format of material		•	·			
	on paper						
	in electronic form				•		
c.	time of filing/furnishing						
	contained in the international application	n as filed.					
٠	filed together with the international appl	ication in electronic	form.	;			
	furnished subsequently to this Authority	for the purposes of	search.				
3. 🔲	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4. Additi	onal comments:						
	10		•				
•			•		•		
	7						
			-				
•							
	•			•			

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/10212

	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
Box No.	estions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be
The que industri	ally applicable have not been examined in respect of:
ti	he entire international application
\boxtimes .	olaims Nos. <u>28-31</u>
because	•
	he said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos. 28-31 are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
. I	Please See Continuation Sheet
• .	
	no international search report has been established for said claims.Nos.
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing, the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner accentable to it.
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter. 1(a) or (b).
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. III) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/10212

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims 7-23,26 and 32-49

Claims 1-6,24,25 and 27

NO

Inventive step (IS)

Claims 7-23,26 and 32-49

YES

Claims 1-6,24,25 and 27

NO

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims 1-49

YES

Claims NONE

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-6, 24-25, and 27 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Chakravarty et al. The claims of the instant application are drawn to synthetic chemokines that are truncated at the C-terminus, and methods of making said synthetic chemokines, polymeric modifications to said chemokines, and methods of treatment with said chemokines. Chakravarty et al teaches a C-terminal truncated chemokine, MCP-1 (see abstract and materials and methods, p. 29641 2nd column - p. 29642 1nd column). Specifically, Chakravarty et al teaches truncation of the 5 amino acid residues at the C-terminal, which includes a lysine residue. This truncation is C-terminal to the last disulfide forming cysteine and to the core helix region. Additionally, because only 5 amino acids were deleted, and because the metes and bounds of the term "substantially" are not defined by claim 24, the MCP-1 C-terminally truncated polypeptide of Chakravarty et al would have substantial homology to the wild-type polypeptide. Although Chakravarty et al does not specifically teach a synthetic MCP-1, the C-terminally truncated MCP-1 taught by Chakravarty et al meets the limitations of the instant claims regardless of any process used.

Claims 1-6, 24-25, and 27 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Chakravarty et al. As set forth above, claims 1-6, 24-25, and 27 lack novelty as being anticipated by Chakravarty et al. Because the claims lack novelty, they also lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3).

Claims 7-23, 26, and 32-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest methods of making a synthetic C-terminally truncated chemokine, polymeric modifications to said chemokine, or methods of treatment using said chemokine.

Claims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)